Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Operator: AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY	Operator ID#: 32513
Inspection Date(s): 12/1/2015, 12/2/2015, 12/3/2015, 12/4/2015	Man Days: 4
Inspection Unit: Belleville/E. St. Louis	
Location of Audit: Belleville/O'Fallon	
Exit Meeting Contact: Chuck Tebbe	
Inspection Type: Design Testing and Construction	
Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Valerie Schwing	
Company Representative to Receive Report: Michael Fuller	
Company Representative's Email Address: mfuller2@ameren.com	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION			
Location of Construction:	Construction P	Construction Performed By:	
40 Tucker, Caseyville IL	Ameren Illinois		
Contractor Foreman:			
Operator Inspector:			
	Person(s) On Job Site		
Prescott Whittaker	Aaron Sanders	Jack Chandler	
Description of Construction:			
Ameren Illinois crew installed approximatel	v 215 feet of 1 inch PE service line from a	inch PE main.	

SERVICE INSTALLATION		Status
[192.55]	Steel	No
[192.59]	PE	Yes
[192.59]	Other	No
[192.619,192.621,192.623]	MAOP	60 PSI
[192.5]	Class location :	1
[192.53]	Are components qualified for use?	Satisfactory
[192.63(a)(2)]	Pipe Size :	1"

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/12-4-2015

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

[192.55,192.59]	Specification :	ASTM 2513
[192.55,192.59]	Manufacturer:	DriscoPlex
[192.55,192.59]	Pipe Grade :	2406/2708
[192.55,192.59]	Wall Thickness :	DR 11
[192.63]	Are pipe, valves, and fittings properly marked for identification?	Satisfactory
[192.227]	Welder qualification date:	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Metallic pipe was not installed or exposed.		
[192.227]	Welder's Name:	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Metallic pipe was not installed or exposed.		
[192.225,192.275,192.277,192.279,192.28	3] Is pipe joined in accordance with approved written procedures?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff observed the joiner making electrofusions in acc	ordance to the operator's POLY 2.05 procedures.	
[192.285]	Date of Qualification :	3/11/15
[192.285]	Joiner's name:	Jack Chandler
[192.455]	Is buried metallic pipe coated?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Metallic pipe was not installed or exposed.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Metallic pipe was not installed or exposed.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Anodes:	No
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Rectifier:	No
[192.461]	Does coating meet 192.461?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Metallic pipe was not installed or exposed.		
[192.461(c)]	Is coating inspected just prior to being installed in the ditch?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Metallic pipe was not installed or exposed.		
[192.479]	Are above ground facilities cleaned and coated or jacketed as	Not Applicable

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/12-4-2015

Page 2 of 5

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

	needed?	
General Comment:		
Metallic pipe was not installed or exposed.		
[192.467]	Are pipelines electrically isolated from other underground metallic structures?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	·	
Metallic pipe was not installed or exposed.		
[192.319]	Is ditch back-filled to provide firm support and prevent damage to pipe or coating?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	•	
Staff observed the use of sand to provide firm	support and prevent damage to the pipe.	
[192.321(c)]	Is plastic pipe installed as to minimize shear and tensile forces?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
Staff observed the use of sand to provide firm	support and prevent damage to the pipe.	
[192.321]	Does plastic pipe have means of locating while underground?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	'	
Staff observed the Ameren crew install a coate	ed tracer wire in accordance to the operator's POLY 1 procedures.	
[192.327(a)]	Is required cover being obtained for the service line?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff observed the Ameren crew install the 1 in	nch service line with a cover of 19 inches in accordance with the operator's SERV 1 proced	lures.
[192.503]	Are general testing requirements being met?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	<u> </u>	
Staff observed a pressure test of 100 PSI held operator's PTST 2.01 procedures.	for ten minutes in accordance with the operator's PTST 1 procedures and leak tested in a	ccordance with the
[192.517]	Are records being made of strength and leak tests?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
Staff observed a pressure test of 100 PSI held operator's PTST 2.01 procedures.	for ten minutes in accordance with the operator's PTST 1 procedures and leak tested in a	ccordance with the
[192.807]	Were covered employees Operator Qualification records reviewed to ensure qualification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the qualifications of the joiner a personnel were being directed.	nd the journeyman Prescott Whitter to ensure the span of control limitations were followed	and non-qualified
[192.805(c)]	Were non-qualified personnel being directed and observed by	Satisfactory

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the qualifications of the personnel were being directed.	e joiner and the journeyman Prescott Whitter to ensure the span of control limitations were followed a	and non-qualified
[192.805(c)]	Were span of control limitations being followed?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
Staff reviewed the qualifications of the personnel were being directed.	e joiner and the journeyman Prescott Whitter to ensure the span of control limitations were followed a	and non-qualified
Was a Protocol 9 (Form 15) Completed?		No
[192.614]	Were One Call Notifications performed as required?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the dig ticket number accordance to the dig ticket.	X003230301 with a dig start date of 11/23/15 08:15 and expiration date of 12/16/15 23:59. Staff obse	erved markings in
[192.614]	Dig Ticket #	X3230301
[192.383(b)]	Is an EFV installed on any new or replaced service line serving a single-family residence?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
Staff observed an EFV installed in ac	cordance with the operator's SERV 2.02 procedures.	
[192.751]	Are adequate steps taken to minimize the potential for accidental ignition?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff observed a fire extinguisher pla	ced by the excavation site.	
	•	

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.